

Genesis 6; Nephilim 1 of 6, Enoch

Hi all,

Genesis 6, Nephilim, UFOs and such is a very popular subject now, and there is much confusion and error 'out there'.

The scriptural and logical explanation of the truth will take longer than my usual 2 to 4 part series. But to keep each segment now longer than 3 pages for easier reading and thought, it will run 6 weeks. I hope the subject is of interest to you.

Popular teaching is....

...the belief there was a 2nd angelic rebellion in heaven in the time of Noah; that angels left heaven to mate with human women in the days before the flood. From that there has arisen much false teaching, including: Satan conceived with Eve, producing lizard-eyed half breed humans who now run the world. Some say the illuminati is comprised of these half breed people, and/or that UFOs are from this 2nd rebellion, and much more.

This series will separate fact from fiction and bring balance. After showing the errors I will show you chapter and verse what scripture really says.

More than that, it will provide readers with logic and scripture, with sound and balanced understanding of what was really going on in the days before Noah's flood.

I always tell people it's not so important that they agree with me, rather that they think through what they believe and why, and are able to explain why they believe what they believe. In other words, if you say you believe something, be able to defend how you arrived at your belief and why.

School days - book reports, bibliographies

I remember the very first time in school we were assigned to write a paper with a bibliography attached. A bibliography is when a person is writing a letter or paper and they quote someone else to help make their point. Whatever the source was of that quote was listed at the end of the report or paper. Remember those days?

The practice of citing outside sources, borrowing a quote here and there, is centuries old.

That's why Matthew 7:29 notes the people observed of Jesus: "...He taught them as one having authority, not as the scribes." The scribes rarely gave their own opinions - They always quoted others. When asked a direct question a scribe would respond: "Rabbi (so and so) says...." (You can still see this in Jewish articles and books today)

It should come as no surprise then...

In the 66 books of the Bible the authors often quoted from others if it helped make their point. The same way even in an argument, a wife might say to her husband after telling him what she thinks, "Even your mother agrees with me..." (lol) She is quoting another source to strengthen her point. A boss may confront a worker about something, citing someone in another department, using their quote to support their point.

In Luke 13:32 Jesus called Herod a sly fox, which was a term commonly used in that day (even among Romans) to describe Herod. By using that common description of Herod, Jesus was showing His agreement with the Roman comments about Herod's character. But He wasn't endorsing anyone, merely using common terms so all could understand.

In Acts 17:28 Paul quotes the Greek prophets 'In him we live and move and have our being', which to the Greeks meant Apollo. But Paul told them it was true of the Creator, who was not Apollo - but he was quoting someone familiar to them to make a point.

In Titus 1:12 Paul quotes the pagan prophet Epimenedes saying Cretans are always liars and lazy...and in I Corinthians 15:33 he quotes Menander (Greek playwright) saying "Bad company

corrupts good manners." Paul pulled from outside and familiar sources for his readers, to strengthen his message to them.

Enoch and Jude

There are literally dozens of examples of the authors of the Bible quoting others to make their point, just like school children and adults do in papers and reports today. But by using a quote here and there doesn't mean the authors are elevating that other author to the level of scripture. When a person writing a thesis or school child writes a report, just quoting an outside source doesn't mean they agree with everything that person wrote - they are just using a single line or paragraph that suits their need.

Paul quoted a pagan prophet because 1 thing he said helped make Paul's point, but he wasn't suggesting that prophet was a prophet of God.

Enoch is quoted in Jude 1:14-15, prophesying the Lord is coming with thousands of His saints to execute justice and judgement on all. Quoting Enoch doesn't mean Jude was elevating the book of Enoch to the level of scripture - he was just using a tiny part of (the 3 volumes) it to make his point.

Today, there is a stream of teaching...

...that elevates the book of Enoch to a level equal with scripture, usually justifying it by saying Jude quoted Enoch, and from that building a whole teaching around Enoch being right and accurate about a 2nd rebellion of angels and such.

People who build their belief system on that one quote from the book of Enoch fail to consider that many authors quoted many sources outside of scripture to make their points, are in no way elevate their sources as equal to scripture.

The 3 books of Enoch are not old books

The 3 volumes were written in 3 parts by unknown authors between 300 BC and 100 BC - about 100 years before Jesus was born. Consider that in about 1400 BC Moses wrote Genesis through Deuteronomy. That's 1,200 years +/- before the books of Enoch were written by a unknown people over roughly 200 years.

It wasn't written by Enoch. Think about that fact. Others compiled oral tradition and stories about what they believed Enoch said or saw, and called it after the subject, Enoch.

Also consider

The books of Enoch had just been written when Rabbi's were compiling the Old Testament scripture. Rabbi's who are wiser than us in terms of who wrote what and when, decided when compiling the Old Testament canon of scripture, that the book of Enoch was not inspired literature.

Consider that. Men who lived long ago, closer to the actual authors, and part of that culture, determined the books of Enoch were not inspired literature. That's huge.

Why do people today think they know more about what is inspired writing than those who compiled the OT?

So that is the first point of logic - Enoch was quoted by Jude about the Lord returning to execute vengeance, which other verses in our Bible also say.

But just quoting someone outside of scripture does not elevate that quote to the level of the Word of God. And, those chosen by God to compile the Old Testament rejected the Book of Enoch, which is why it is not in our OT today.

What about a 2nd rebellion of angels?

That's where we pick it up next week. Until then,
blessings,

John Fenn

www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Genesis 6, Nephilim 2 of 6; 2nd rebellion?

Hi all,

Last week we looked at how the books of Enoch were not considered inspired by God and therefore not included in the Old Testament. They were written around the time the Old Testament was compiled, roughly 100 years before Jesus was born.

Today we start by thinking through the possibility of a 2nd angelic rebellion in the days of Noah.

A 2nd rebellion of the angels?

Since we reject Enoch as not being inspired, agreeing with the rabbi's who compiled the Old Testament, we look at scripture only, as our source. We see in scripture there is only 1 angelic rebellion with one leader of that rebellion mentioned throughout Old and New Testaments.

There are 2 main scriptures used by those who think there was a 2nd angelic rebellion, interpreting 'the sons of God saw the daughters of men were pretty...' to mean there was a 2nd rebellion. Later, I'll show you the 'sons of God' were not angels, but first let's examine the 2 passages used to justify the belief in a 2nd rebellion.

II Peter 2:4-5. The context starting in v1 is false prophets and their ultimate fate in hell. Then he writes: "For if God did not spare the angels that had sinned, but cast them to hell and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved until judgement. And spared not the old world, but saved Noah, the preacher of righteousness..."

Some would read this to think Peter is referencing an angelic rebellion right before Noah. The context of that verse, if you read verses before and after, shows Peter is making comparisons between judgement and grace, not putting things in a chronological order of events

But remember, because Enoch was rejected as not being scripture, Peter did not have that belief - he was taught what the sons of God really were - which wasn't a 2nd angelic rebellion. No, Peter was talking about Lucifer's fall from heaven, the 1 and only angelic rebellion. It was he who was rebuked in Matthew 16 for suggesting Jesus not go to the cross; 'You get behind me Satan...' Peter knew Jesus was tempted by Satan before He started His ministry, not Satan and a 2nd leader from another angelic rebellion.

Notice the angels were delivered into chains of darkness.

If I were to make chains of paper, I would say you were bound by chains of paper - paper is the chain. So 'chains of darkness' means being bound to darkness, forever forbidden from entering God's light, is what it says.

They cannot escape the chains that are the darkness of Satan's kingdom. They can never again enter into God's light. Does Satan walk about like a roaring lion as Peter wrote, yes, but within the realm of darkness that is his chains - and he seeks to pull others into the same bondage of darkness. "(Father) who has delivered us from the authority of darkness and translated us into the kingdom of His dear Son." Col 1:13

The 2nd passage is Jude v6: "And the angels which did not keep their place, but left their home, He has reserved under chains of darkness until the judgement of the great day." Jude, Jesus' brother*, agrees with Peter, the angels that rebelled are kept in darkness, bound to the darkness, it being their chains, confining them to that realm. *Mt 13:55

Throughout the Old Testament

From Job to David, to Isaiah 14:12-15 which calls Lucifer by name, to Ezekiel 28:12-19 where we learn Lucifer was at the first a cherub, one of the multi-winged angelic beings around the throne seen in The Revelation 4 and elsewhere, there is 1 rebellion, 1 leader named. There is never a hint of a 2nd angelic rebellion.

Jesus was there to witness Lucifer being cast out of heaven

Think about this: In Luke 10:18 when the 70 came back so excited they could cast out demons using the name of Jesus, Jesus told them essentially, to calm down because He witnessed Satan cast out of heaven, so rejoice that they are citizens of heaven, not that they could cast out demons. (He obviously wasn't saying He saw Satan fall out of heaven on that day, but was stating He was preexistent and witnessed Satan's rebellion and fall - Satan had already fallen when he tempted Adam and Eve.)

Jesus didn't say He saw Lucifer cast out of heaven and 'Oh yeah, I witnessed that 2nd rebellion in Noah's day as well.'

When Isaiah 14:13-14 talks of Lucifer's 5 'I will' statements*, Isaiah doesn't say, 'And also the leader of that 2nd rebellion in Noah's time also said...' No, only Lucifer is named. In Ezekiel 28:12-19 he mentions he was a one time cherub before the throne who was lifted up for his beauty and authority, but never says 'and that other angel too, who rebelled in Noah's time.'

(*I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the angels of God, I will sit in the place of control, I will ascend above the clouds, I will be like the Most High.)

And in The Revelation 12 when talking of the war in heaven, there is no mention of a 2nd war of angels that hate God and Israel and God's people - just the one, Satan.

Conclusion - There was 1 rebellion of angels in heaven, for that is all scripture mentions, and Jude and Peter's statements, coming from an education and theology that dismissed Enoch as not inspired to the level of the canon of scripture, understood that.

Visitation: What Jesus said about angels and demons...

What I'm sharing here is in part, things I learned in visitations with the Lord. One of the things I asked the Lord about is proof - for I have seen many, many demons (I just don't talk about them) and many more angels. Demons are very different in appearance than angels, and I asked the Lord if any of that was related to the (1) rebellion.

He asked me: "When you see demons, what do they look like?" I responded that they are always naked, no matter how big they are, they are always naked, and many are like shrunken individuals, shrunken by sin and darkness like a shell of a former self.

The closest thing I can compare it to in the natural...

...is a person who is older, and so world-weary their skin and countenance looks old, tired, wrinkled before their time, worn out. That is a tiny part of what demons look like - all look world-worn and worn out ugly.

When I said: "They are always naked and world-worn", He asked: "And what do angels look like?" And I said they are always clothed in some beautiful clothing, robes, baggy slacks over which robes are worn, various colors and decorations, and so on. He said: "Angels like people had free will, and those who chose Me have been sealed with robes of righteousness, while the angels that fell were stripped of all righteousness. That is why they are naked and in appearance look as they do."

That we in the Spirit are clothed in robes of righteousness is seen in several places. Ephesians 5 talks about a husband and wife as a type of the Lord wanting to present us to Himself 'not having spot or wrinkle or blemish' on our robes. The Revelation 6:11, 19:8, 14 tell us the robes of fine linen clean and white is the righteousness of saints.

He specifically mentioned The Revelation 3:15-19...

...and what He said to the lukewarm church at Laodicea. They said they needed nothing for they were rich and prosperous, but He told them they were spiritually naked, and called them to repent 'lest the shame of your nakedness be exposed' and counseled them to repent and receive white clothing of righteousness. He used those verses to explain why demons are naked and angels are clothed - and a larger teaching about righteousness that isn't relevant to today's subject.

Side note: People ask me if they might in 10,000 years or so, rebel and fall away from the Lord though they love the Lord now - and I explain that no, we are sealed with the Holy Spirit, and especially once we received glorified bodies made of heavenly material which means no sin nature, and with no Satan to tempt, having made our choice, our whole being is 100% for God without the ability or will to ever again rebel.

Our glorified bodies, being made of heavenly material, can therefore only long for the things of God. By contrast, our earth bodies being made of the earth, long for the things of the earth and sensual realm, which is why people wonder about this. But we've made our choice and have been given robes of righteousness, as did the angels that stayed with God rather than go with Lucifer.

Next week - why God would have to have been involved in a 2nd angelic rebellion for it to happen. Until then, blessings,

John Fenn
www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Genesis 6; Nephilim; 3 of 6, God helping?

Hi all,
Last week I shared about how the angels were sealed with robes of righteousness after Lucifer rebelled, sealing their decision - just as we are sealed after we believe.

Today, the core of what one must overcome if they think there was a 2nd angelic rebellion in the days of Noah.

If there was a rebellion in the days of Noah, here is what you have to overcome

If we set aside scripture and centuries of Jewish and Christian understanding that there was just 1 rebellion led by a cherub named Lucifer, and consider a 2nd angelic rebellion in the time of Noah, how would that be done?

First, God would need to create the right 'body part' in their angelic bodies

Jesus said that in heaven people are 'as the angels in heaven' (Mt 22:30, Mk 12:25).

The act of sex is of this natural world. Only physical beings in a physical world have the need for reproduction. Angels are not mating, making little angel babies in heaven. They are spirit-beings with spirit-bodies so reproduction isn't something they are equipped for.

On the earth, God commanded all plants and animals including humans, to multiply and fill the earth. In the realm of the Spirit there is no reproduction, no planet to fill, so angels don't have 'the equipment' physically to do so.

To have a second rebellion in which angels mate with women,

God Himself would have to be actively involved in the rebellion. Angels could not just 'grow' genitalia. Beyond that, God is the Source of all life. God would have to give them the 'seed' in their angelic bodies to be able to conceive with women.

If you believe the 'sons of God' were angels in a 2nd rebellion, you must make God complicit in the rebellion. In other words, He would have had to cooperate with the rebelling angels, helping them. He would have had to change their spiritual bodies to give them genitalia and THEN give them the seed required to conceive with a human woman.

Next issue: Cross-species reproduction

IF we make God part of the rebellion by creating in those rebellious angels the genitalia and then giving them the 'seed' with which to conceive with human women, we have the issue of cross-species mating.

Genesis tells us the Lord created life on the earth to create 'after its kind'. (Genesis 1:11-12, 21-25). God too remember, when all the lower life was created, decided to make beings after His own kind - you and me.

Everything after its kind: Cats mate with cats, dogs mate with dogs, elephants with elephants and so on. You won't see a cat conceiving with a dog (Would that be a 'dat' or a 'cog'? lol). You won't see an elephant mating with a rhinoceros and producing an offspring. (Would that be an eliphino? lol)

So once again God would have to become involved

To allow angels who are a higher class of creation, mate with human women in a cross-species conception and production of hybrid children - (hybrids are sterile) - that's what those who believe there was a 2nd angelic rebellion argue for.

For one to believe such things, they have to ignore Psalm 8:4-6 that says man was made a little lower than the angels. We are a lesser being as we aren't in the higher realm of the Spirit of God (yet). So again, God would have to be involved, breaking His Genesis command, to allow a higher order of creation, angels, to mate with a lesser species.

Can a horse mate with a lower order of creation like an alligator? Can a whale mate with a lower order of creation like a jellyfish? Then how could angels cross-species conceive with lower life forms (humans) unless God gave them the body parts, gave them 'seed', and then broke more of His laws by allowing cross-species and high to lower order of species conception?

Consider how much of a higher class of being angels in heaven are.

Angels have power as authorized by the Father, to destroy cities* or whole armies*. They appear in this realm at the Father's will. *Genesis 19:1-13, Isaiah 37:36)

Elijah went 40 days on the strength of 2 small meals* provided by the angel, showing food in heaven being a higher form of creation, has a life and strength giving ability far above earth food for earth bodies. *1 Kings 19:5-12

All this means God would have to be personally involved in a 2nd rebellion: How else could beings of such superior creation be given the genitalia and the seed with which to conceive cross-species with lowly humans, except God the Father gave them the ability to do so? (While suspending His creation command that all things produce only after their kind)

It is well documented

When a human being is conceived, scientists have observed a flash of light at that moment. The Bible tells us in Hebrews 12:9, Zechariah 12:1 and other places that God is the one who provides the spirit when a person is conceived.

So for an angel to be given the 'equipment' and then the seed to conceive with human women in a cross-species, higher to lower conception, God would once again have to be involved to provide the life at the moment of conception.

It couldn't happen. He would be as guilty as they in the rebellion.

Angels saw the daughters of men were 'beautiful'?

Let's stop and consider if we believe angels looked down on the earth and saw human women were beautiful.

(The Hebrew word 'towb' used here is 'beautiful', 'appealing to the senses'. It is used elsewhere to describe good smelling perfume and good tasting food. Genesis 41:5, Song of Solomon 1:3, etc.)

Supposedly angels looked at beautiful women...

...in the time of Noah and said they wanted to have sex with them. First, it takes human flesh to be tempted with lust. James 1:13 says God is not tempted nor tested by evil. One reason is that the Father doesn't have human flesh by which He might be tempted. The Father doesn't know hunger, sleep, the need to relieve himself, nor what it means to be tempted sexually - he has no earthly flesh by which to be tempted.

Angels have heavenly/spirit bodies as well, so how could they be tempted with earth-body temptations?

That is why Christ had to become a human being

He had to experience temptation and not fail. He had to take upon Him human flesh which allowed Him to be tempted. If you are in the Spirit you are not tempted - you have to have an earth body to be tempted. Think about how we see this now in a small way - when we are in worship and in His presence, have you

noticed all temptations, stresses, fears, and such of this world are not present? We currently experience this for a moment, but angels live in that peace, the focus on the spiritual - they don't have earth bodies to be tempted with earthly temptations.

Hmmm...for people who believe that angels back then saw that human women were beautiful, aren't there many more beautiful women on earth now with over 8 billion people alive? Most statistics I've seen say they estimate world population in the time of Abraham was about 5 million. In Noah's time we would think far fewer than that.

So...If there was an angelic rebellion over the beauty of women in Noah's time

Why haven't there been many more angelic rebellions since? There are many more beautiful women alive now and even in centuries past, than with Noah. The logic falls apart doesn't it? If you believe the motivation for the angelic rebellion was the beauty of earth-women, then why haven't we had multiple angelic rebellions since? That theory fall apart quickly doesn't it?

So how is it some believe angelic beings, superior to man, develop the natural senses that a lower class of creation, humans have, and would appeal to their flesh which they didn't have? We may think a dog or cat is beautiful, but it doesn't arouse us in that way - so why would we think such higher created beings would condescend to lowly humans, to be aroused with senses they weren't created with to want to have sex with them? It doesn't make sense.

Next week - who were the 'sons of God'?

Until then, blessings!

John Fenn

www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Genesis 6; Nephilim, 4 of 6, Who the sons of God?

Hi all,

Last week we talked about how, if there was a 2nd angelic rebellion in Noah's day, God would have had to be involved with and helped with a 2nd angelic rebellion. Today....who were the 'sons of God'?

Even if that 2nd rebellion happened

They all died in Noah's flood. Think about that. For those who believe angels conceived with human women; they all died in the flood. Only 8 survived, Noah and his wife, their 3 sons and 3 daughters in law.

But to hold to their theory of that 2nd angelic rebellion and for there being hybrid beings today that survive, one must reject the Bible claim that only Noah's family survived. Are you ready to go against Jesus and the rest of scripture and all of Judaism's Word and history?

The counter argument is that their DNA survived through Noah - but then you have to make it that Noah and his family were taking part in the angel-women children. For their DNA to survive the flood you'd have to make Noah's family a product of an angel/woman conception. And that isn't anywhere in the Bible. So even if you explain all the above away, they still all died in the flood. (Later in this series I'll share about 'giants' in the days of David and such.)

So who are the sons of God?

Moses and Joshua wrote Genesis through Joshua, and had a uniquely 'Jewish' writing style. Their style was to share both a heavenly perspective and an earthly perspective in the same passage.

Joshua wrote in the natural/spiritual way in Joshua 5:13-15 when Israel was camped at Jericho wondering how they were going to take the city. He writes that he saw a man with a sword standing near him, and he asked if he was for them or against them. The man responded, "No ('neither', for that's not the point), but I am here as Captain of the army of the Lord." It says Joshua fell to his face and worshipped, and the man told him to remove his shoes for he was on holy ground.

That was the natural view.

The man was clearly the Lord, the Captain of the Father's armies. Only the Lord receives worship and commands man to remove his shoes in His presence. (Shoes are man-made and no man can come to God or stand before Him based on any effort of his own- thus OT people commanded to be barefoot in God's presence)

The very next verses confirm that Joshua was talking to the Lord, not a man only, for He told him how to take the city in Joshua 6:2-5, calling Him 'the Lord'. So we see the writing style of listing both the natural view and the spiritual view.

Moses did this in Genesis 18

In 18:1 it says the Lord appeared to Abraham. It then says as Abraham sat in the tent door he saw 3 men walking towards him, whom he greeted and prepared a meal. It isn't until v9 we see the spiritual side of things, as the Lord tells Sarah that about that time the next year she will have a son. Immediately after that conversation, the Lord says within Himself: "Shall I hide from Abraham that which I'm about to do, seeing that he will become a great and mighty nation...and train up his household and children in the ways of the Lord?"

The Lord then reveals He has come to destroy Sodom and the other cities, and the 2 other 'men' are seen for who they really are, angels sent to rescue Lot. This is seen in chapter 19. Again, we see both the natural in that the 3 appeared to be mere men who allowed Abraham to kill and cook a calf and prepare a meal for them, and then it switches to the spiritual to who the 'men' really were - the Lord and 2 angels.

Paul used this same style

I think this is seen most clearly in I Corinthians 3:2 when addressing the Corinthians caught up in strife and divisions, saying: "For you are still carnal. For where there is envy and strife and divisions among you, aren't you carnal and living like (natural) unborn-again people?"

Here he addresses them as being in Christ, but then tells them they are living as if they aren't even born again - the natural man. Throughout his letters however, he regularly compared their former lives with now, mentioned both natural perspective and spiritual - like Ephesians 4:17-31.

Back to Moses and Genesis

In Genesis 4:16-24 we have a list of Cain's descendants. It tells us Cain moved east of Eden to the land of Nod, and raised his family. This passage includes some of his children and descendants. They included a man named Lamech who admitted to murdering a man, just like Cain did. It also tells us Jubal was Cain's descendant, who was the father of string and wind instruments. It mentions Tubal-cain who was skilled in forging brass and iron.

At the end of chapter 4, verse 25-26 state this: "And Adam knew his wife again and she had a son they named Seth (replacement). For she said, God has given me a replacement for Abel who Cain murdered. And to Seth was born a son he named Enos. Then men began to call themselves 'the sons of God.'"

The KJV margin translates this 'then men called themselves by the name of God' (the sons of God).

Benson's commentary states there became a growing difference between those who walked with God and those who did not. "The worshippers of God began to distinguish themselves" by the worship of God and making a stand for Him.

He states: "Cain and those who deserted religion and built a city, began to declare for irreligion, calling themselves the sons of men. Those who adhered to God began to declare for Him and His worship, and called themselves the sons of God."

Some Jewish sources include that as men multiplied the knowledge of God was decreasing, and men started worshipping stars and natural things. Before that time no one needed to talk of God for all knew because the population was so small.

As mankind multiplied there arose a need for those who knew God to take a stand, and they were the first to pray in His name and to Him, calling themselves the sons of God.

Don't we today identify ourselves as the sons of God?

Remember I John 3:1-3: "Beloved, now are we the sons of God, but it is not yet revealed what she shall be, for then we will see Him as He is, and will be like Him. Everyone who has this hope purifies himself..." See also Romans 8:14, 19; Galatians 3:26, 4:6; Philipians 2:15

We call ourselves the sons of God, the children of God, so it shouldn't be hard to understand in those days when the difference between those who did not walk with God and those who did walk with God became very clear, they did the same thing. Isn't that what is happening in our day, as it was in the days of Noah?

2 families in the earth, and they kept making babies

Genesis 5 details the descendants of Seth, including Mahalaleel whose name means 'praiser of God'. Also of note are Enoch who didn't see death but was 'taken', Methusaleh whose name means 'At his death the waters will come', and Noah. If you do the math you will find the flood came in the same year Methuselah died, just as prophesied by his name.

After listing the godly line of the sons of God, the text goes on to say in 6:1: "It came to pass when men began to multiply on the face of the earth and daughters were born to them, the sons of God (Seth's descendants) saw the daughters of men (Cain's descendants) were beautiful..."

Cain had moved east of Eden

As the populations of each family grew, it was inevitable they would one day encounter one another. This is why Genesis 6:1 says, '...when men began to multiply on the face of the earth...' That sets the context. That multiplication of population to the point the two families met, sets the scene.

Today there are also only two families in the earth. You've either been translated out of the kingdom of darkness into the kingdom of the Father's Son, or you haven't. "You were before time darkness, now you are light." Colossians 1:13, Ephesians 5:8-9

That was the same in the days of Noah, and as the sons of God began marrying the daughters of men - Cain's ungodly family - the knowledge of God began disappearing from the lives of mankind. God was about to be on the outside looking in to His creation.

Next week - what about the nephilim, those mighty men of old? Until then, Blessings,

John Fenn

www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Genesis 6; Nephilim, 5 of 6, Who were they, DNA, etc.

Hi all,

Today we will look at the 'mighty men' and consider DNA...

Genesis 6:2 says the sons of God took as wives the daughters of men

Immediately in v3 God says: "My spirit will not always umpire in the ways of men, because he is just flesh; Yet his days will be 120 years."

Up until this point, God Himself acted as 'umpire' directly, with capital crimes. We see this by His involvement with Cain and with Lamech who also killed a man (4:23-24). After Noah got out of the Ark the Lord delegated 3 things to man as his responsibility from that point on: Crime & punishment, diet - allowing them to eat meat for the first time*, and reproduction.

*Genesis 9:1-7. Genesis 1:29-30 states man and animals were vegetarians originally, and to that we will return - wolf laying down with the lamb, the lion eating straw like an ox, etc. - Isaiah 11:6-7; 65:25.

Some understand the 120 years as a cap on man's lifespan

Others understand it to be a countdown until the flood. That means the whole time between Seth's godly line who identified as the children of God and the taking of wives among the daughters of men, was no more than 120 years.

Men of renown? Mighty men of old?

Genesis 6:4 says: "There were giants in the earth in those days, and after that when the sons of God had children with the daughters of men. These were mighty men of old, men of renown." The word 'is' is used only 3 times in the Bible. Here, and twice in Numbers 13:33. Each time it refers to people, giant, violent, tyrants, ungodly warriors.

It is not a compliment to call them 'men of renown, mighty men of old'. The same phrasing is used of Nimrod in 10:9 who calls him a 'mighty hunter before the Lord', which is an unfortunate translation in the King James Version. "...a mighty hunter of men in full view of the Lord' or 'in spite done in the Lord's view' is closer to the meaning.

Deuteronomy 3:11 tells us king Og of Bashan was 'of the remnant of the giants'. The word used for 'giant' here and everywhere else you see the word 'giant' other than Genesis 6:4 and Numbers 13:22, is 'rephaim', not 'nephilim'.

So we can't trace Goliath nor Og to the giants of Noah's day. And II Samuel 21:20 tells us Goliath's son who was also a giant, had 6 fingers and toes for a total of 24 - an example of genetic error.

So we see the genetic code was within mankind, even in Noah's DNA, for giantism, just like dwarfism - both are seen today. The 8 in his family were the only ones to survive the flood, but their genetics contained enough life to see all the variety of all people and all races who have lived since. So the recessive gene of giantism and also the genetic or chromosomal errors we see then and now, were within Noah's family genes.

Nimrod gathered people for the express purpose of making war, though he knew better. He did so out of spite hunting men who were made in God's image. These 'mighty men of renown' were evil and the statement about their ungodly violent nature and the spreading of violence and war.

They were men who acted out of spite and hatred against God and others, violent men, bullies, tyrants, giants in evil character.

Consider the genetics a little more

When God created plants, animals, and man, He commanded the 'multiply and fill the earth'. In doing so He also gave them the genetic ability to fulfill that command, meaning genetic variation, what we call 'micro-evolution'.

Macro-evolution is the erroneous belief one species can evolve into another species - algae becomes a frog that becomes a mammal that becomes an ape that becomes man.

But micro-evolution, is scriptural.

Darwin found 5 types of beaks on the same species of finch and theorized evolution. Each beak type was specialized: One broad for cracking seeds, one long and narrow to catch insects on the fly, and so on. That micro-evolution was merely God's built-in genetics which allowed each species to fill the earth according to His command, by adapting to the environment.

God didn't create Golden Retrievers or Chihuahuas. He created mom and dad wolf and said 'fill the earth'. He didn't create your house cat, He created mom and dad big cat and said 'multiply'. He created 1 type of elephant and from that original mom and dad elephant we've had Mammoths to today's African and Indian elephants as time and environment have occurred.

In the same way

Within that first man and woman were all the genetic abilities for every person alive today, all 8+ billion of us, and all who have ever lived. Estimates are about 117 billion people have lived and died over the centuries.

A side note to think about. Adam lived 930 years, and if Eve lived about the same, her child bearing years could have been maybe 700 or more - if she had 300 or 400 children, realize that many of those would not have been closely related to one another like brothers and sisters are today. The question, 'Did Cain marry his sister' is more complicated and more simply explained at the same time.

In the days when man lived 900 years, what if there were 50 or 100 years in age difference between spouses with all those other children in between? It is possible with all the genetic life in Adam and Eve, there would be siblings only distantly related to one another.

Technically, we are all brothers and sisters genetically speaking

We all came from the original mom and dad. But our genetics are diluted through tens of billions of people since then. What if you were among the first babies born on the earth?

Your genetic variations would have come from Adam and Eve but more 'concentrated', capable of perhaps including every type of human on earth today, from Asian to African to caucasian to dwarf and giant and all in between, hundreds from the same mom and dad but genetically more distant than today's brothers/sisters. Interesting.

All the races, all the body types, all the skin colors, all the facial features, were within the genetics of Adam and Eve. From giants to dwarfs, pygmies to Goliath of Gath, all the genetics were within Adam and Eve. And with Noah and his 3 sons and their wives - we all exist today. Amazing.

Next week:What about giants like Goliath and today? Until then, blessings,

John Fenn

www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Genesis 6; Nephilim, 6 of 6, Sons of God, mythology

Hi all,

We've covered lots of ground in this study. My effort was not to pick apart every teaching out there about the idea there was a 2nd rebellion of angels.

Jesus and the authors of the NT spent only a little time picking apart erroneous teaching, choosing rather to spend the bulk of their time explaining what scripture DOES say - that has been my intention here.

Today we conclude this little study - thank you for staying with me on this, for even if it isn't a concern to you, someone you know probably wonders about it, or even believes error.

People of faith are not to give up our ability to think when we come to the Lord. In fact He is extremely logical once you see things from His perspective. Think it through, reason within yourself, knowing that God the Father is a good God from whom all blessings and good things flow.

The sons of God - the godly line

They had so much of the life of God flowing through their veins, and the ungodly line of Cain multiplied and eventually met each other, it is reasonable to believe a recessive gene of giantism would be seen. Eventually, dwarfism and giantism would manifest in the genetic code - and the giants in those days as in the days of David and Goliath, were violent men of war who hated God.

This is why v5 says God saw man's imagination had so deteriorated they only thought of violence and evil continually. Their influence was starting to wipe the knowledge of God off the face of the earth.

I would also add v12 God says, "All flesh has corrupted my ways in the earth..." Note there is no anger directed against angels, (for there was no 2nd rebellion) - His wrath was on man who was corrupting His ways, and it got down to 1 family - if they died the knowledge of God would be wiped off the earth by the unequally yoked marriages.

To believe there was a 2nd angelic rebellion in the days of Noah, you believe the angels initiated human contact - meaning God's wrath would be directed at them, not mankind who was the victim. Yet the text clearly states God is angry at man, even hurt by his actions. His judgement was against man and how 'all flesh had corrupted His way in the earth.' Not against angels - but against men.

My personal feeling

I think these these ungodly offspring from the unequally yoked unions of Seth's and Cain's descendants produced a genetic line that were bullies by size and character, and they hated God, like Nimrod later.

I think the Ancient Greek mythology probably relates back to many of these giants - the life of God would still have been very strong in those days, Noah was 600 years old at the time of the flood - so they were giants in stature and ungodliness, and 'all flesh' corrupted God's ways on the earth.

So if you read the context of the 2 lines of men Genesis 4 and 5 set up, and then flow that into 6:1-12, you see how Moses is telling the story of how God's ways were being wiped off the earth and it got down to 1 family left who walked with Him.

Summary

The teachings today that there was a 2nd angelic rebellion, and they mated with women to produce 'giants', is usually based on the books of Enoch and a misunderstanding of Genesis 6.

From that error have arisen teachings on everything from UFO's to lizard eyed people who have this fallen angel DNA in them, running the world. Some of that ties in ancient Catholic mysticism that believes Lucifer (the devil) mated with Eve to produce reptile shaped pupils in a line of humans - and they supposedly make up the illuminati - once error starts it takes off in all directions!

I've shown why this is error. God was not complicit (involved in helping) a 2nd angelic rebellion. If you step back and go to the simpler time of Moses being given and writing Genesis for us, you'll see the opening chapters of Genesis are there to explain the origins and multiplication of mankind on the earth. It is the story of a perfect world and perfect people fallen from grace, and the grace of the Creator to keep man and the knowledge of God alive.

Paul expressed his fear...

...for the faith of the Corinthians in his second letter to them, chapter 11. In v4-5 he warned them that Satan works by complicating faith, and once people get into a complicated faith they believe 'another Jesus, another spirit, and another gospel'.

One sign you are getting off balance, off into error, is that faith is complicated for you. If you are searching for that 1 spiritual key to solve your problems, that 1 formula, if you just knew that 1 thing or just did that 1 thing to unlock the secret of getting God to work on your behalf - you have been deceived by the devil Paul said.

Draw back to child-like simplicity of faith. You have Christ in you, therefore you have all things. No formula to reach God or justify your case before Him is required, for you have Christ in you. He's that close. You have that simplicity of access. Amazing grace.

Rest...un-believe the lies, rest in the simplicity of faith and it's accompanying peace. Realize you won't have all the answers this side of heaven, and let go trying to discover that which remains hidden until then. Focus on what is important now, today, in your walk with the Lord.

Grow in love, joy, peace, gentleness, meekness, self control, patience, godliness, moral excellence, consistency, brotherly love, unconditional love. If we focus on developing these things in us, we'll find the rest is comparatively unimportant. Do the do's and you won't have time to do the don'ts.

New subject next week, until then,
Blessings,
John Fenn

www.cwowi.org and email me at cwowi@aol.com

Nephilim final thoughts, UFOs and more – 29 juli 2023

Hi all,

Many people today reading that the sons of God were the godly line of Seth are reading that for the first time. They had never heard that Moses was led to share how the knowledge of God spread through Seth's godly line, but was corrupted by their marriages to the unbelieving 'daughters of men'.

Yet for centuries classic Judaism understood what Moses wrote, and only a small fraction thought there was a 2nd rebellion. It would be like in our day the tiny numbers of Christians who think the earth is flat compared to those who realize the Bible and nature present a globe.

People will always turn verses around, sometimes in honest ignorance, sometimes not, but what I shared about the 2 families in the earth of the sons of God and the sons of men, which we have to our own day, is the way Genesis 6 has been understood for centuries. The sons of God and the family of men who are still in the kingdom of darkness.

Witness

When I asked the Father why the Holy Spirit in Genesis 1:2 was hovering over the water-planet (earth). He said: "As a witness to creation." When I asked 'Why?', He replied: "Because Moses needed an eye-witness account of creation (and the early days) so he could write it down."

The Holy Spirit, the Spirit of Truth, is a faithful eye-witness to creation and the development of man, and God's involvement in the history of man. It is all about God and man and the story of redemption. Amazing grace.

So settle it that Moses around 1400BC was led to outline how 2 families in the earth developed from the original line of believers - believers and unbelievers - and how the mixing of the godly and ungodly caused offspring who were angry, bullies, warriors, and the knowledge of God was being wiped off the face of the earth.

The Creator had to step in and start over lest He be on the outside looking in on His creation.

I forgot to bring up things like UFOs, are we alone, and so on...

When I was a teen, good friends were followed home by a UFO as they drove along a country road, moving with them no matter how they turned. Finally one of them turned around, said "Get out of here in the name of Jesus!" and it went straight up and vanished in one move.

If you ignore military secret flights and equipment, weather phenomenon, optical illusions, mental and emotional factors of the claimants, and all other possibilities, then the supernatural is left to consider. Anything that is of God will gladly and quickly call Jesus Lord, and if not, it's demonic. So with that, who cares? Just take authority over it in Jesus name, for we must obey Him by commanding it out and away. Just go about your business, don't be intimidated or scared.

The spirit realm (of Satan) is the perversion of the Spirit realm. The Spirit realm (of God) is as Jesus told the blind men, 'According to your faith be it to you'. (Matthew 9:29). But in the spirit realm the perverse is also true; 'according to your faith (in the demonic) be unto you.' If anyone puts belief in Satan's realm it opens a door to his realm of the spirit and he can manifest all sorts of scary things for you or anyone who opens that door.

Don't toy with demonic manifestations. That's what the world does because it is blind and ignorant, but you know better. Walk with the Father and Lord, be at peace, and anything that isn't all about the Lordship of Jesus can be commanded away in the name of Jesus. It IS that simple. I find it horribly sad that some Christians have more faith in the demonic things like UFOs and aliens than using The Name to lay hands on the sick, cast out demons, and walk with Him.

What the Lord recently said

I shared in the newsletter last week how I had just returned from 4 recorded sessions at Shekinah Worship Center which can be viewed on their site: <http://www.shekinahworship.com>

While there on that Friday night the Lord came and talked to me, first about the service and then He changes subjects, saying:

"There is coming a season of distraction for many, concerning events political and economic, and is even now upon you. It is similar to the way many were distracted from what truly mattered during the last (US Presidential) elections. Because of their distractions friendships were broken, and many separated themselves in strife and arrogant self-righteousness.

"Remember when you asked at that time why I allowed so many in the body to become divided (during Covid and the US election). I told you it was to reveal their hearts, to reveal the immaturity of those who thought themselves wise and expose the false. I told you I allowed this so that they could see their hearts and either be ashamed and repent, or harden themselves and remain immature in me. A similar time is coming which will once again prove what is in their hearts."

"Even now many have their attention divided between their walk in Me and politics, and I'm calling them to repent, to return to Me with their whole heart, to return to balance, for my sheep will not follow the voice of another."

"A similar time is coming though with different distractions. Tell them that in the months to come this will be upon the nation and world, that they not fall back to that from which they've come."

"For the world; There is coming a time of fear and uncertainty in the coming weeks and months. It will be different than the last time (Covid/elections), as it involves political and economic issues. But for those in me who have learned from the past will remain strong and will not waver."

"You must be about the Father's business. Keep your focus on Me, not on the events of the day. Remain steadfast and walk with Me, Hear what the Spirit is saying to the churches."

What He said reminds me of the time about 20 years ago I had commented to the Father that it had been awhile since He had commented on current events. He said:"Why should I tell you anything? You get your news from FOX (news channel)." Ouch.

I included all that to say this

Setting too much attention on things that don't really matter like Nephilim, UFOs, aliens, global conspiracies, covid, politics and such serve as distractions from our first love.

In the Rock Opera 'Jesus Christ, Superstar', one of the songs is 'What's the Buzz?'. It describes how in the times of the gospels people were all about what Jesus said, or might say, or where He was, and what He was doing.

In Matthew 16:13-17 we are told 'the buzz' was that Jesus might be a reincarnated Jeremiah or other prophets back from the dead. But Jesus asked:"But who do YOU say that I am?" Peter responded:"You are the Christ! The Son of the Living God!"

Jesus responded by saying Peter was blessed because He didn't learn that from man, but the Father had revealed that to him. And upon that Rock of revelation from the Father, Jesus would build His body and the leadership (gates) of hell could not stand against it.

That revelation and all that flows from the Father to us, is where our attention should be. Refocus on your first love and His people, and don't be like a child carried about by every 'wind of doctrine', but grow up in Him.... Step away from sites that tickle the ears, tune to sites that bring revelation and balance and point you directly to the Lord and/or our Father in heaven.

New subject next week. Until then, blessings,

John Fenn

<http://www.cwowi.org> and email me at cwowi@aol.com